The critical issue is: the logic in natural languages and sciences is much more complicated than the logic (or logics) in programming languages, or any other existing logics. Large language models are incomplete and inconsistent.

So, current logic studies, including relevance logic or any other substructural/paraconsistent logics, are inadequate.

There are countless types of mathematical logic and philosophical logic, but none of them could really judge the true/false in natural languages and sciences.

The following analyses could provide the foundation for a better scientific logic.

1) Most of numbers are not computable, or even not definable. How many natural laws are critical on these incomputable or indefinable numbers? This question cannot be answered by humans' sciences and verified by scientific experiments.

It is NOT a trivial issue. So, humans will never have the Theory of Everything. The logic in Prof. Gerard't Hooft's article Free Will in the Theory of Everything is wrong.

- 2) Actually, humans' sciences are NOT consistent and complete. Even if some pompous physicists still think the problems be trivial in physics, these problems would be amplified enormously in life sciences, and especially in intelligence sciences.
- 3) Thus, physical sciences, life sciences, intelligence sciences need very different reference systems. Humans should not stop at the reference system theory of general relativity.
- 4) These different reference systems need very different logic frameworks. There are paradigm shifts across these different reference systems related to logic frameworks. So, people should be specific about what exactly these paradigm shifts are in various situations.

0000

Gerard't Hooft

On the Theory of Everything
Gerard't Hooft
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
$ \begin{array}{c} 000000000000000000000000000000000000$
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
UK 000000000000000000000000000000000000
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
00 the selfish gene 0000000000000000000000000000000000
otentially a meta-solution to any problem
00000000000000000000000000000000000000

0000 0000
□□ Human Brain project □□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□
00 BRAIN initiative 000000000000000000000000000000000000
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
$2023 \ \square \ 12 \ \square \ 11 \ \square \square \square \ Waymo \ \square $
00000 Google/Waymo 000000000000000000000000000000000000
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
$\fine \fine \fin$

Human Brain project
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
0000 common sense
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
DDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDD